| Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary | Application No. | Applicant(s) |
|--|----------------------------------|---------------------------------|
| | 10/587,606 | FINE ET AL. |
| | Examiner | Art Unit |
| | LEI YAO | 1642 |
| All Participants: Status of Application: | | |
| (1) <u>LEI YAO</u> . | (3) | |
| (2) <u>John White</u> . | (4) | |
| Date of Interview: 22 February 2010 | Time: | |
| Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes If Yes, provide a brief description: | | |
| Part I. | | |
| Rejection(s) discussed: None | | |
| Claims discussed: Yes | | |
| Prior art documents discussed: None | | |
| Part II. | | |
| SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: Amending claims to correct typo and cancellingf the claims drawn to a method. | | |
| Part III. | | |
| ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| л У | | |
| /L. Y./ Examiner, Art Unit 1642 | | |
| (A | pplicant/Applicant's Representat | ive Signature – if appropriate) |